

Shire of Boddington

Shire Admin & Depot 'Other Structures'
Asset Management Plan

Revision 0.1

Document Control

Rev No.	Date	Revision Details	Author	Reviewer	Approver
0.1	Oct-18	Initial Draft	VL		

Contents

Contents.....	3
Executive Summary	4
Background and Objectives	5
Purpose of this Asset Management Plan.....	5
Focus of this Asset Management Plan.....	5
Corporate Document Relationships.....	5
Time Period of the AMP and Next Review Date	5
Service Levels	6
Introduction.....	6
Service Level Performance	6
Stakeholder Key Service Attributes	7
Service Level Targets and Performance	7
Demand	9
Historic Demand.....	9
Future Demand.....	9
Demand Management.....	10
Lifecycle Management Plan	11
Shire Admin & Depot 'Other Structures' Assets Condition	13
Data Confidence and Reliability	22
Lifecycle Management Strategies	23
Financial	24
Projected Expenditure Requirements.....	24
Plan Improvement and Monitoring	25
Performance Measures.....	25
Asset Consumption Ratio.....	25
Asset Sustainability Ratio	27
Asset Renewal Funding Ratio.....	28
Improvement Plan	29
Monitoring and Review Procedures	29

Executive Summary

The Shire Admin & Depot 'Other Structures' Asset Management Plan covers assets that are associated with the Shire of Boddington Administration building and Depot Facilities. These assets provide administrative and operations functions of Council as well as providing community service.

This plan excludes the building asset types. These are captured in the 'Building and Land Asset Management Plan'.

This document is the Shire's Asset Management Plan (AMP) for Shire Admin & Depot 'Other Structures.' It outlines the activities that will be carried out over the next ten years to provide and maintain the portfolio. It also details the service levels (standard) the Shire will provide and the resources required to deliver them.

While the document is comprehensive, it is also evolving with the Shire's practice maturity. As such there are a number of actions that have been identified that will improve the AMP's accuracy over time. All readers of this AMP must understand its limitations and applied assumptions before acting on any information contained within it.

Overall, the Shire Admin & Depot 'Other Structures' assets in this plan have significant value estimated at approximately \$600,000. Evidence suggests that the general condition of the assets at the Shire Administration and Shire Depot are 'Good' the assets are in very good condition but with some early stages of deterioration evident, but the deterioration still minor in nature and causing no serviceability problems. This position is supported with the asset portfolio average Asset Consumption Ratio of 68% which is within the target band of 50-75%.

Looking forward, a number of key improvement actions have been identified that would enable the Shire to better manage its Shire Admin and Depot asset portfolio. These have been listed within the Improvement Plan for future implementation.

Background and Objectives

Purpose of this Asset Management Plan

This document is an Asset Management Plan (AMP) for the Shire's assets at the Shire Admin and Depot. The AMP documents shows how the Shire plans to manage these assets, to deliver services of a specified quality (service levels) and what the associated long term costs are.

Focus of this Asset Management Plan

The AMP focuses on the following asset type portfolio.

Asset Class	Location	Number of Assets	Current Replacement Cost
Other Structures	Shire Admin and Depot	29	\$ 607,520

Table 1: Assets covered by AMP

Corporate Document Relationships

This AMP integrates with the other following Shire documents:

- = Strategic Community Plan
- = Corporate Business Plan
- = Long Term Financial Plan
- = Annual Budget.

Time Period of the AMP and Next Review Date

The AMP covers a 10 year period and will be next reviewed by 1 July 2019.

Service Levels

Introduction

The level of service is the defined service quality for the asset. Understanding the level of service required of an asset is vital for its lifecycle management, as this largely determines service are pivotal in asset management as they have a direct financial impact due to their importance in both operational and risk-based prioritisation.

Service levels are divided into two types:

- Community based; and
- Operations based

Community based levels of service relate to the function of the service provided and how the customer receives the service in terms of appearance, availability, comfort and safety.

Operations based levels of service relate to the technical measures and the outputs the customer receives in terms of quality, quantity, maintainability reliability and performance, responsiveness, capacity, environmental impacts and affordability.

Service Level Performance

Table 2 details the service level performance that the Shire provides.

Key Performance Indicator KPI	Performance	Tactic
Availability	Unknown	Monitoring performance
Safety	Unknown	Monitoring performance
Accessibility	Unknown	Monitoring performance
Function	Unknown	Monitoring performance
Responsiveness	Unknown	Monitoring performance
Condition	Unknown	Monitoring performance
Environment	Unknown	Monitoring performance
Cost/Affordability	Unknown	Monitoring performance

Table 2: Service Level Performance

The Shire of Boddington has no record of monitoring their Performance of levels of services, so is not in a position to clearly articulate what its *current* levels of service are for 'Other Structures' assets under its responsibility. New levels of service has been considered in an asset management context. These will need to be refined in further versions of this Plan.

Stakeholder Key Service Attributes

The Shire has considered on behalf of each key stakeholder what they value and expect from 'Other Structure' assets. These needs and wants were captured and have been presented in the table below.

Stakeholder	Expectations
Councillors	Meeting community needs, sound management and allocation of resources, good governance
Employees / Contractors	Safe working environment
Community residents and businesses	Value for money, equitable and responsible service, well maintained assets
Facility Users	Well maintained assets specific to users' needs
Insurers	Appropriate risk management policies and practices, safe working environments, well maintained assets
Tourists	Well maintained assets, accessible services, safe facilities

Table 3: Service Levels

The perception of what the customer wants will be investigated for future updates of the asset management plan.

Service Level Targets and Performance

By considering the potential service attributes from the Strategic Community Plan and stakeholder key service attributes, a total of eight KPIs have been selected. The following table outlines the KPIs used to monitor performance delivery.

Key Performance Indicator	Level of Service	Performance Measure	Target Performance	Current Performance
Availability	Provision of appropriate levels of Shire Admin & Depot assets	Community survey to measure satisfaction with facilities and distance to them.	80% of community are satisfied with the availability of assets.	Not measured.
Safety	Provide safe suitable facilities, free from hazards.	Number of hazards identified and remedied within performance guidelines. Insurance claim history. User feedback.	Appropriate action on all hazards according to risk management plan.	Quantity measured through action requests.

Key Performance Indicator	Level of Service	Performance Measure	Target Performance	Current Performance
Accessibility	Council's high use Shire Admin & Depot facilities to be made accessible to all.	Feedback from community. Number of complaints received regarding lack of accessibility.	In accordance with current Disability Access and Inclusion Plan.	Not measured.
Function	Ensure that recreation facilities meet user requirements	Community survey to measure % of people satisfied with the level of Service provided by the assets.	80% of community are satisfied with the facilities.	Not measured.
Responsiveness	Responses are prompt, clear and work appropriately prioritised	% of requested responded to within defined response times	90% compliance with targets based on risk assessment.	Not measured
Condition	All Shire Admin & Depot assets will meet condition standards defined by hierarchy. Facilities provide a quality experience for all users.	Ongoing condition assessments. Ongoing community feedback by various methods including surveys.	70% of Shire Admin & Depot assets assessed as good condition or better.	Not measured.
Environment	To ensure that Shire Admin & Depot assets are renewed and maintained and operated in an environmentally sustainable manner.	Annual review of environmental impact assessments completed for projects. Review of energy consumption based on industry indicators.	All assets comply with relevant legislation, publications, standards and specifications.	Not measured
Cost/Affordability	Provide Shire Admin & Depot assets in a cost effective manner	% of maintenance and renewal services & projects achieved on time, on budget and to appropriate standards.	All services and goods are delivered by internal or external resources that provide best value for money service.	Not measured.

Table 4: Service Level Targets and Performance

Demand

This section summarises likely factors that may affect the demand for assets based services over the life of the AMP. Full details of past and future demand factors are recorded in the General Guidance Notes.

Historic Demand

A range of historical sources of service demand change have been considered. Their overall effect has been summarised as follows in Table 5.

Driver Type	Effect	Demand Change
Population	Shire population up by 441 people (+31%) from 1,401 (2001) to 1,844 (2016).	Possible Increase in demand.
Demographic	Population increase in all demographic age bands (2001 – 2016) except 30-39. Median age has increased from 35 to 39 years (2001 – 2016).	No change
Recreation Participation	Participation rates continue to fall slightly year on year across the general population. Walking remains the most popular activity for recreation, followed by fitness/gym, jogging & running, swimming/diving and cycling/BMXing.	Possible Increase in demand.
Tourism	Tourist numbers in the 'golden outback' region grew from 1.5m (2012) to 2.1m (2017). This growth may have increase demand on the Shire Admin & Depot facilities.	Possible Increase in demand.
Climate	Annual rainfall has fallen from approximately 730mm to 580mm per annum (1916 to 2017). Annual monthly mean maximum temperatures up from 29.2°C to 31.8°C (1935 to 2017). Address risks from climate changes a result.	Possible Increase in demand.

Table 5: Historic Demand Drivers

Future Demand

Consideration was given to six possible future demand drivers (political, economic, social, technological, legal and environmental) that may influence demand on the provision of 'Other Structures' assets.

Driver Type	Service Demand Change
Political	Negligible
Economic	Increase from higher energy costs, and potential catastrophic funding constraints if a local mine closes.
Social	Increase due to tourism and vandalism. Changing needs due to demographic and recreation trend changes.
Technological	Opportunity to decrease maintenance costs through implementation of emerging technologies.
Legal	Increase in compliance obligations.
Environmental	Increase in costs due to climate change and implementation of appropriate asset management strategies.

Table 6: Future Demand Drivers

Demand Management

A review of past and future demand factors shows that council does not anticipate demand change has occurred, and will also likely occur into the future. Looking forward, the following initiatives/improvements are proposed to meet demand changes.

- = Improving asset knowledge so that the data accurately records the asset inventory
- = Monitor how assets are performing and when assets are not able to provide the required service levels.
- = Improving our efficiency in operating, maintaining, replacing existing and constructing new assets to optimise life cycle costs.

Risk Management

A risk analysis of the current asset management deficiencies identified by the AMP has been undertaken. Table 6 outlines the top identified risks.

Ref.	Risk	Level of Risk	Further Action
1	The Shire has no 'live' AMP for 'Other Structure' assets	Moderate	Develop AMP
2	A planned maintenance schedule does not exist.	Moderate	Implement the Synergy Soft AM module.
4	Shire has no long-term capital works programme.	High	Develop a 10 year works programme.
7	Shire has no monitored AMP service levels.	Low	Monitor the service levels recorded within this AMP.

Table 7: Major Asset Management Risks

Lifecycle Management Plan

The lifecycle management plan details how the Shire intends to manage and operate its 'Other Structures' asset portfolio at the agreed service levels.

Shire Admin & Depot 'Other Structures' Assets Physical Parameters

Asset ID	Asset Name	Current Replacement Cost	Fair Value	Annual Depreciation
IOTHO001060	Car Park	\$ 54,000	\$ 40,095	\$ 2417
IOTHO002060	Lights	\$ 5,750	\$ 2,875	\$ 460
IOTHO003060	Retaining Wall	\$ 13,800	\$ 12,420	\$ 179
IOTHO004060	Concrete Pathway	\$ 4,370	\$ 3,310	\$ 68
IOTHO005060	Retaining Wall	\$ 7,870	\$ 3,935	\$ 315
IOTHO006060	Stone Pitch	\$ 29,000	\$ 26,680	\$ 214
IOTHO007060	Water Fountain	\$ 1,490	\$ 559	\$ 149
IOTHO008060	Planter Boxes	\$ 5,180	3,497	\$ 194
IOTHO009060	Signs	\$ 3,450	\$ 2,329	\$ 129
IOTHO010060	Retaining Wall	\$ 7,820	\$ 7,038	\$ 101
IOTHO011060	Retaining Wall	\$ 3,740	\$ 3,366	\$ 48
IOTHO012060	Retaining Wall	\$ 5,980	\$ 5,382	\$ 77
IOTHO013060	Retaining Wall	\$ 4,310	\$ 3,879	\$ 56
IOTHO014060	Picnic table setting	\$ 4,370	\$ 2,185	\$ 175
IOTHO015060	Flagpole	\$ 5,180	\$ 2,590	\$ 148
IOTHO016060	Concrete block paving	\$ 48,200	\$ 36,801	\$ 1,248

Asset ID	Asset Name	Current Replacement Cost	Fair Value	Annual Depreciation
IOTWD001077	Asphalt paving	\$ 39,700	\$ 25,448	\$ 1,853
IOTWD002077	Sub base	-	-	-
IOTWD003077	Concrete product bins	\$ 27,800	\$ 25,298	\$ 182
IOTWD004077	Asphalt paving	\$ 80,400	\$ 51,536	\$ 3,752
IOTWD005077	Sub base	\$ 87,400	\$ 41,297	\$ 1,826
IOTWD006077	Steel mesh fencing with barbed wire	\$ 36,800	\$ 18,400	\$ 1,227
IOTWD007077	Safety Shower	\$ 1,380	\$ 518	\$ 138
IOTWD008077	Concrete wash down bay	\$ 38,700	\$ 24,575	\$ 374
IOTWD009077	Retaining Wall	\$ 24,400	\$ 19,032	\$ 297
IOTWD010077	Retaining Wall	\$ 26,700	\$ 20,826	\$ 325
IOTWD011077	Concrete Hardstand	\$ 32,600	\$ 24,206	\$ 200
IOTWD012077	Safety Shower	\$ 1,380	\$ 690	\$ 138
IOTWD013077	Retaining Wall	\$ 5,750	\$ 4,485	\$ 70
		\$ 607,520	\$ 413,252	\$ 16,360






Table 8: Shire Admin & Depot 'Other Structures' Asset Physical Parameters

Shire Admin & Depot 'Other Structures' Assets Condition

As at 30 June 2018, the Shire holds condition ratings for all the 'Other Structures' derived from the last asset valuation. While the condition ratings provide some indication as to where renewal works may be required, the ratings are not sufficiently robust to produce a long term works programme. An improvement action to implement a programme of inspections across the portfolio has been listed.

The following section outlines the Shire's 'Other Structures' at the Shire Admin & Depot as of 30 June 2018.

Asset ID	Image	Image	Asset Name	Asset Type	Asset Sub Type	Current Replacement Cost	Fair Value	Annual Depreciation	Remaining Useful Life	Condition 0-10
IOTHO001060			Car Park	Hardstand and Internal Roads	Hardstand - Bitumen	\$ 54,000	\$ 40,095	\$ 2417	12	3.0
IOTHO002060			Lights	Lighting	Parks and Security	\$ 5,750	\$ 2,875	\$ 460	6	4.0
IOTHO003060			Retaining Wall	Retain Walls	Concrete Block	\$ 13,800	\$ 12,420	\$ 179	48	2.0
IOTHO004060			Concrete Pathway	Hardstand and Internal Roads	Pathways - Concrete	\$ 4,370	\$ 3,310	\$ 68	36	3.0

Asset ID	Image	Image	Asset Name	Asset Type	Asset Sub Type	Current Replacement Cost	Fair Value	Annual Depreciation	Remaining Useful Life (Years)	Condition 0-10
IOTHO005060			Retaining Wall	Retain Walls	Rock	\$ 7,870	\$ 3,935	\$ 315	12	4.0
IOTHO006060			Stone Pitch	Retain Walls	Rock	\$ 29,000	\$ 26,680	\$ 214	59	2.0
IOTHO007060			Water Fountain	Park Assets	Bubbler	\$ 1,490	\$ 559	\$ 149	3	5.0
IOTHO008060			Planter Boxes	Retain Walls	Rock	\$ 5,180	3,497	\$ 194	18	3.0

Asset ID	Image	Image	Asset Name	Asset Type	Asset Sub Type	Current Replacement Cost	Fair value	Annual Depreciation Total	Remaining Useful Life	Condition 0-10
IOTHO009060			Signs	Miscellaneous	Signs	\$ 3,450	\$ 2,329	\$ 129	18	3.0
IOTHO010060			Retaining Wall	Retain Walls	Concrete Block	\$ 7,820	\$ 7,038	\$ 101	48	2.0
IOTHO011060	 		Retaining Wall	Retain Walls	Concrete Block	\$ 3,740	\$ 3,366	\$ 48	48	2.0
IOTHO012060			Retaining Wall	Retain Walls	Concrete Block	\$ 5,980	\$ 5,382	\$ 77	48	2.0

Asset ID	Image	Image	Asset Name	Asset Type	Asset Sub Type	Current Replacement Cost	Fair value	Annual Depreciation Total	Remaining Useful Life	Condition 0-10
IOTHO013060			Retaining Wall	Retain Walls	Concrete Block	\$ 4,310	\$ 3,879	\$ 56	48	2.0
IOTHO014060			Picnic table setting	Park Assets	Tables	\$ 4,370	\$ 2,185	\$ 175	12	4.0
IOTHO015060			Flagpole	Sporting Equipment	Goal Post	\$ 5,180	\$ 2,590	\$ 148	17	4.0
IOTHO016060			Concrete block paving	Hardstand and Internal Roads	Hardstand - Pavers	\$ 48,200	\$ 36,801	\$ 1,248	22	3.0

Asset ID	Image	Image	Asset Name	Asset Type	Asset Sub Type	Current Replacement Cost	Fair value	Annual Depreciation Total	Remaining Useful Life	Condition 0-10
IOTWD001077			Asphalt paving	Hardstand and Internal Roads	Hardstand - Bitumen	\$ 39,700	\$ 25,448	\$ 1,853	9	4.0
IOTWD002077			Sub base	Hardstand and Internal Roads	Internal Road - Bitumen	0.0	0.0	0.0	23	3.0
IOTWD003077			Concrete product bins	Retain Walls	Concrete	\$ 27,800	\$ 25,298	\$ 182	98	2.0
IOTWD004077			Asphalt paving	Hardstand and Internal Roads	Hardstand - Bitumen	\$ 80,400	\$ 51,536	\$ 3,752	9	4.0

Asset ID	Image	Image	Asset Name	Asset Type	Asset Sub Type	Current Replacement Cost	Fair value	Annual Depreciation Total	Remaining Useful Life (Years)	Condition 0-10
IOTWD005077			Sub base	Hardstand and Internal Roads	Internal Road - Bitumen	\$ 87,400	\$ 41,297	\$ 1,826	23	3.0
IOTWD006077			Steel mesh fencing with barbed wire	Fences	Post and Chain Link	\$ 36,800	\$ 18,400	\$ 1,227	15	4.0
IOTWD007077			Safety Shower	Miscellaneous	Utilities Water	\$ 1,380	\$ 518	\$ 138	4	5.0

Asset ID	Image	Image	Asset Name	Asset Type	Asset Sub Type	Current Replacement Cost	Fair value	Annual Depreciation Total	Remaining Useful Life (Years)	Condition 0-10
IOTWD008077			Concrete wash down bay	Hardstand and Internal Roads	Hardstand - Concrete	\$ 38,700	\$ 24,575	\$ 374	43	4.0
IOTWD009077			Retaining Wall	Retain Walls	Rock	\$ 24,400	\$ 19,032	\$ 297	20	4.0
IOTWD010077			Retaining Wall	Retain Walls	Rock	\$ 26,700	\$ 20,826	\$ 325	20	4.0
IOTWD011077			Concrete Hardstand	Hardstand and Internal Roads	Hardstand - Concrete	\$ 32,600	\$ 24,206	\$ 200	91	3.0



Asset ID	Image	Image	Asset Name	Asset Type	Asset Sub Type	Current Replacement Cost	Fair value	Annual Depreciation Total	Remaining Useful Life (Years)	Condition 0-10
IOTWD012077			Safety Shower	Park Assets	Beach Shower	\$ 1,380	\$ 690	\$ 138	5	4.0
IOTWD013077			Retaining Wall	Retain Walls	Rock	\$ 5,750	\$ 4,485	\$ 70	20	4.0
						\$607,520	\$ 413,252	\$ 16,360	Ave 3	

Table 9: Shire Admin & Depot 'Other Structures' Assets Condition

Data Confidence and Reliability

Table 11 details the reliability and confidence levels of the current asset data the Shire holds. It is the Shire's intention to progress towards a position whereby data confidence levels for all areas are classified as either a 1 or 2.

Confidence Grade	Description	Accuracy
1 – Excellent	Accurate	100%
2 – Good	Minor inaccuracies	± 5%
3 – Average	50% estimated	± 20%
4 – Poor	Significant data estimated	± 30%
5 – Very Poor	All data estimated	± 40%

Table 10: Data Confidence Measures

Asset Type	Location	Inventory	Condition	Valuation
Other Structures	Shire Admin & Depot	1	2	1

Table 11: Shire Admin & Depot 'Other Structures' Assets Data Confidence Levels

Lifecycle Management Strategies

Operation & Maintenance Strategy

The Shire currently employs a mixture of reactive and ad-hoc planned maintenance practices. Typically, annual budgets are based on historical levels of expenditure with an applied inflation factor. The available level of budget determines the level of planned maintenance that occurs.

Adequate maintenance is necessary for the proper operation of the Shire Admin & Depot facilities. The lack of maintenance is one of the most common causes of failure of assets.

Looking forward, the Shire wishes to improve this practice by increasing the level of planned maintenance activity and linking schedules to annual budgets. The development of a formal Shire Admin & Depot maintenance programme has been listed as an improvement action.

Shire Admin & Depot 'Other Structures' AMP

This document that sets out the Shire's long term management tactics for Shire Admin & Depot 'Other Structures' assets.

Service Level Agreements

The Shire generally has little by way of formal Service Level Agreements with users of the tennis club, basketball groups and the youth. The development of a template agreement has been listed as an improvement action.

Renewal Strategy

All Shire Admin & Depot 'Other Structures' assets are periodically inspected to determine their condition, on a 0 (new/excellent) to 10 (very poor/failed) scale. Condition results will be used to predict assets' potential year of renewal.

Staff then reinspect these assets to determine the timing, scope and budget of any future renewal project.

Projects are then listed on a long term works programme and reported within this AMP, any work on renewing assets would be regarded as Capital expenditure.

The renewal strategy in this plan is predominately providing for asset renewal once the asset condition is 6 or greater, as is demonstrated in the condition table. There are assets that are currently a 6 or higher and will need to be actioned on.

Strategic Goals

A significant high level asset data collection and condition assessment process was conducted in 2018 assets. It is recommended that Council budget for capital expenditure that focuses its spending on poor condition assets graded at level 7 or higher.

New Strategy

The need for new and/or upgraded assets (e.g. to meet a service deficiency) are identified from several potential sources. Each potential asset is investigated by staff and where valid, often prioritised against similar projects. Approved projects are then listed onto the works programme. At present, the Shire does not have a formal prioritisation framework for upgrade/new assets, where their 'strategic fit' against the Strategic Community Plan can be determined. An improvement action to consider this has been listed.

Disposal Strategy

Shire Admin & Depot "Other Structures" assets are not frequently disposed of (this is where the asset is not replaced/renewed). Where a potential need is identified, then this is considered by staff, and in some cases, Council.

Financial

There are zero delegated funds for "Other Structure" assets in the current 10 year financial year, this is in most part as a consequence that the Shire of Boddington has never had an effective Asset Management Plan in respect of these "Other Structures" at the Corporate use. These assets will require further inspection and a review will be required.

Projected Expenditure Requirements

Expense Type	Year 1 2018/19	Year 2 2019/20	Year 3 2020/21	Year 4 2021/22	Year 5 2022/23
Operations					
Maintenance					
Renewal					
Upgrade					
New					
Disposal					

Expense Type	Year 6 2023/24	Year 7 2024/25	Year 8 2025/26	Year 9 2026/27	Year 10 2027/28
Operations					
Maintenance					
Renewal					
Upgrade					
New					
Disposal					

Table 12: Shire Admin & Depot 'Other Structures' Assets Expenditure Requirements

Planned Renewal Expenditure over the next 10 years (Renewal/Upgrade) \$ 0

Plan Improvement and Monitoring

This Section of the AMP outlines the degree to which it is an effective and integrated tool within the Shire. It also details the future tasks required to improve its accuracy and robustness.

Performance Measures

The effectiveness of the AMP will be monitored by the performance of the three statutory ratios that the Shire reports on. The Shire's current performance is recorded in Table 17.

Asset Consumption Ratio

The ratio is a measure of the condition of the Shire's physical assets, by comparing their condition based fair value (what they're currently worth) against their current replacement cost (what their replacement asset is currently worth as new). The ratio highlights the aged condition of the portfolio and has a target band of between 50%-75%. Non-depreciating assets (e.g. land etc.) should be excluded from the calculation.

Asset Consumption Ratio = $\frac{\text{Depreciated Replacement Cost (Fair Value) of Depreciable Shire Admin \& Depot 'Other Structures'}}{\text{Current Replacement Cost of Depreciable Shire Admin \& Depot 'Other Structures'}}$

This ratio seeks to highlight the aged condition of a local government's stock of physical assets. If a local government is responsibly maintaining and renewing / replacing its assets in accordance with a well prepared asset management plan, then the fact that its Asset Consumption Ratio may be relatively low and/or declining should not be cause for concern – providing it is operating sustainably.

Asset ID	Asset Name	Current Replacement Cost	Fair Value	Asset Consumption Ratio %
IOTHO001060	Car Park	\$ 54,000	\$ 40,095	74%
IOTHO002060	Lights	\$ 5,750	\$ 2,875	50%
IOTHO003060	Retaining Wall	\$ 13,800	\$ 12,420	90%
IOTHO004060	Concrete Pathway	\$ 4,370	\$ 3,310	76%
IOTHO005060	Retaining Wall	\$ 7,870	\$ 3,935	50%
IOTHO006060	Stone Pitch	\$ 29,000	\$ 26,680	92%
IOTHO007060	Water Fountain	\$ 1,490	\$ 559	38%
IOTHO008060	Planter Boxes	\$ 5,180	3,497	68%
IOTHO009060	Signs	\$ 3,450	\$ 2,329	68%
IOTHO010060	Retaining Wall	\$ 7,820	\$ 7,038	90%

Asset ID	Asset Name	Current Replacement Cost	Fair Value	Asset Consumption Ratio %
IOTHO011060	Retaining Wall	\$ 3,740	\$ 3,366	90%
IOTHO012060	Retaining Wall	\$ 5,980	\$ 5,382	74%
IOTHO013060	Retaining Wall	\$ 4,310	\$ 3,879	90%
IOTHO014060	Picnic table setting	\$ 4,370	\$ 2,185	50%
IOTHO015060	Flagpole	\$ 5,180	\$ 2,590	50%
IOTHO016060	Concrete block paving	\$ 48,200	\$ 36,801	76%
IOTWD001077	Asphalt paving	\$ 39,700	\$ 25,448	64%
IOTWD002077	Sub base	\$ -	\$ -	
IOTWD003077	Concrete product bins	\$ 27,800	\$ 25,298	91%
IOTWD004077	Asphalt paving	\$ 80,400	\$ 51,536	64%
IOTWD005077	Sub base	\$ 87,400	\$ 41,297	47%
IOTWD006077	Steel mesh fencing with barbed wire	\$ 36,800	\$ 18,400	50%
IOTWD007077	Safety Shower	\$ 1,380	\$ 518	38%
IOTWD008077	Concrete wash down bay	\$ 38,700	\$ 24,575	64%
IOTWD009077	Retaining Wall	\$ 24,400	\$ 19,032	78%
IOTWD010077	Retaining Wall	\$ 26,700	\$ 20,826	78%
IOTWD011077	Concrete Hardstand	\$ 32,600	\$ 24,206	74%
IOTWD012077	Safety Shower	\$ 1,380	\$ 690	50%
IOTWD013077	Retaining Wall	\$ 5,750	\$ 4,485	78%
		\$ 607,520	\$ 413,252	Avg 68%

Table 13: Shire Admin & Depot 'Other Structures' Assets Consumption Ratios

The average Asset Consumption Ratio of the 'Other Structures' at the Shire Admin & Depot does meet the standard range of 50% - 75%. The Average is 68%

Asset Sustainability Ratio

The ratio is a measure of the extent to which assets managed by the Shire are being replaced as they reach the end of their useful lives. The ratio is essentially past looking, and is based upon dividing the average annual depreciation expense of the Shire Admin & Depot 'Other Structures' asset portfolio by the average annual renewal expenditure, for a number of past years (e.g. 3).

Asset	Renewal Expenditure			Average Renewal Expenditure
	2015/16	2016/17	2017/18	
Other Structures	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0

Table 14: Shire Admin & Depot 'Other Structures' Assets Sustainability Ratios

Asset Sustainability Ratio = $\frac{\text{Past Shire Admin \& Depot 'Other Structures' Renewal Expenditure}}{\text{Shire Admin \& Depot 'Other Structures' Asset Depreciation}}$

$$= \frac{\$ 0}{\$ 16,360}$$

$$= 0\%$$

Asset Renewal Funding Ratio

The ratio is a measure as to whether the Shire has the financial capacity to fund asset renewal as and when it is required over the future 10 year period. The ratio is calculated by dividing the net present value of planned renewal expenditure over the next 10 years in the LTFFP, by the net present value of planned renewal expenditure over the next 10 years in the AMP. The same net present value discount must be applied in both calculations.

Planned Renewal Expenditure				
2018/19	2019/20	2020/21	2021/22	2022/23
Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5
\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0

Planned Renewal Expenditure				
2023/24	2024/25	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28
Year 7	Year 8	Year 9	Year 10	Year 1 - 10
\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0

Table 15: Shire Admin & Depot 'Other Structures' Assets Planned Renewal Expenditure at 0% per year

Required Renewal Expenditure					
2018/19	2019/20	2020/21	2021/22	2022/23	2018/19
Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6
\$ 16,630	\$ 16,687	\$ 17,021	\$ 17,361	\$ 17,709	\$ 18,063

Required Renewal Expenditure				
2019/20	2020/21	2021/22	2022/23	Total sum
Year 7	Year 8	Year 9	Year 10	Year 1 - 10
\$ 18,063	\$ 18,424	\$ 18,792	\$ 19,168	\$ 179,137

Table 16: Shire Admin & Depot 'Other Structures' Assets Required Renewal Expenditure at 2% per year

Asset Renewal Funding Ratio = $\frac{\text{NPV of LTFFP Planned Renewal Expenditure over the next 10 years}}{\text{NPV of AMP Required Renewal Expenditure over the next 10 years}}$

$$= \frac{\$ 0}{\$ 179,137}$$

$$= 0\%$$

Year	Asset Consumption Ratio	Asset Sustainability Ratio	Asset Renewal Funding Ratio
2018/19	68%	0%	0%

Table 17: AMP Performance Measures

Improvement Plan

The asset management improvement plan generated from this AMP is shown in Table 18.

Task No.	Task	Responsibility	Timeline
1	Complete the implementation of the Synergy Soft AM module.		
2	Update new assets when handed over to the council		
3	Identify future technologies that can facilitate more effective and cost-efficient asset management practices.		
4	Provision of detailed work program for renewal		
5	Monitor the service levels recorded within this AMP.		
6	Implement an ongoing programme of 'Other Structures' condition inspections.		
7	Develop 'Other Structures' maintenance schedule, with associated budgets.		
8	Develop an upgrade/new project evaluation and prioritisation framework.		

Table 18: AMP Improvement Plan

Monitoring and Review Procedures

This AMP will be reviewed during annual budget preparation and amended to recognise any changes in service level and/or resources available to provide those services as a result of the budget decision process.